## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION 1:14-cy-276-FDW

ERIC MORALES,	)
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	ORDER
DR. KEITH KUHNE, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)
	)

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on periodic status review.

Pro se Plaintiff Eric Morales, a North Carolina state inmate currently incarcerated at Marion Correctional Institution, filed this action on October 22, 2014, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendant Keith Kuhne was deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs. Following initial review by the Court, Plaintiff submitted a summons form for service by the U.S. Marshal, giving the address for Marion Correctional Institution as Defendant Kuhne's address. On July 28, 2015, the U.S. Marshal returned as unexecuted a summons form, indicating that Defendant Kuhne is no longer employed at Marion Correctional Institution. See (Doc. No. 10).

Generally, a plaintiff is responsible for effectuating service on each named Defendant within the time frame set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), and failure to do so renders the action subject to dismissal. However, if an incarcerated plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis provides the Marshals Service sufficient information to identify the defendant, the Marshals Service's failure to complete service will constitute good cause under Rule 4(m) if the defendant could have been located with reasonable effort. See Graham v. Satkoski, 51 F.3d 710, 713 (7th Cir. 1995).

Before a case may be dismissed based on failure to effectuate service, the Court must first ensure that the U.S. Marshal has used reasonable efforts to locate and obtain service on the named defendants. See Greene v. Holloway, No. 99-7380, 2000 WL 296314, at \*1 (4th Cir. Mar. 22, 2000) (where the district court dismissed a defendant in a Section 1983 action based on the prisoner's failure to provide an address for service on a defendant who no longer worked at the sheriff's office, remanding so the district court could "evaluate whether the marshals could have served [Defendant] with reasonable effort"). Therefore, this Court will instruct the U.S. Marshal to use reasonable efforts to locate and obtain service on Defendant Kuhne.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) Within 30 days of this Order, the U.S. Marshal shall use reasonable efforts to locate and obtain service on Defendant Kuhne. If the U.S. Marshal is unable to locate and obtain and service on Defendant Kuhne within this time period, the U.S. Marshal shall inform the Court of the efforts taken to locate and serve Defendant Kuhne.
- (2) The Clerk is respectfully instructed to mail a copy of this Order to the U.S. Marshal.

Frank D. Whitney

Chief United States District Judge

whithey